2.6. The text states that one dimensional systems cannot oscillate. Clearly, the system $m\ddot{x} = -KX$ oscillates, and describes the notion of a particle in one dimension.

The resolution of this apparent paradox comes from the fact that this system doesn't meet our definition of one dimensional: $\dot{q} = f(q)$

Let X=X, X2=X1. Then, vehave the system $\zeta \dot{X}_2 = -\frac{\kappa}{m} X_1$ $\langle \chi_1 = \chi_2$

50, ne implicitly had a two-dimensional system. 2.6.2 We prove that $\dot{x} = f(x)$ cannot oscillate by contradiction. where C < T. Suppose X(t) = X(t+T), and $X(t) \neq X(t+S)$ $\forall S \in (0,T)$.

We then consider $\int f(x) \frac{dx}{dt} dt = f(x(t))x(t) \Big|_{t=1}^{t+1}$ $\int \frac{df}{dt} \cdot \chi(t) dt = f(\chi(t+T)) \chi(t+T) - f(\chi(t)) \chi(t)$ $-\int_{1}^{t+1} \frac{df}{dx} \frac{dx}{dt} \cdot x dt = f(x(t)) x(t) - f(x(t)) x(t)$ $-\int_{-}^{t+T} \frac{df}{dx} \frac{dx}{dt} \times dt = -\int_{-}^{t+T} \frac{df}{dx} \frac{dx}{dt} \times dt \Longrightarrow$ $O = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dx}{dt} \times dt + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dx}{dt} =$ $\int_{\overline{Jt}}^{t+1} \frac{dx}{dt} \left(f(x) + x \cdot \frac{df}{dx} \right) dt = O$

We have two cases. Case 1: $\dot{X} = 0 \implies \chi(t) = const.$ This means that X(t) = X(t+5) for all SE(0,T), which is a contradiction. Lase 2: $f(x) + x \cdot \frac{df}{dx} = O(x) \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -\frac{f(x)}{x} \implies \frac{df}{dx} =$ $-\int \frac{df}{f} = \int \frac{dx}{f} \implies -\ln f = \ln x + \zeta \implies$ $\ln f = -\ln \chi + \zeta_2 \Longrightarrow f = e^{-\ln \chi + \zeta_2} = -\zeta_X.$ However, ((x)=x,50 $dx = -Cx \implies dx = -Cdt$

 $ln x = -(t+D) \implies x(t) = De^{-ct}$. However, this violates our assumption that X(t+T) = X(t). Hence, we conclude that x(1) can't be periodic. P/